

From: [McAteer, Mike](#)
To: [Rhotenberry, William](#); [Mason, Steve](#); [Brescia, Nicolas](#)
Cc: [Ruhl, Christopher](#)
Subject: RE: Transition Document from Response to Remediation
Date: Monday, April 08, 2013 9:36:35 AM

Bill... overall, the plan looks fine but, the only thing that makes me a little uneasy is the table where it defines the criteria for leaving oil in place.... terms like "inaccessible" may need to be better defined.... ultimately, I think ADEQ and EPA will need to approve ANY oiled areas Exxon feels do not need to be remediated.... and I think the plan sort of says that at the beginning but, it's worth being certain of this before moving forward with the plan.

Mike

From: Rhotenberry, William
Sent: Monday, April 08, 2013 8:43 AM
To: Mason, Steve; McAteer, Mike; Brescia, Nicolas
Cc: Ruhl, Christopher
Subject: Transition Document from Response to Remediation

You guys want to take a quick look at this transition document and give me some comments. The necessary caveats appear to be in place for additional investigation/restoration activities as deemed necessary by trustees. Thanks.

William Rhotenberry
Federal On-Scene Coordinator
USEPA Region 6
O: 214.665.8372
M: 214.437.9804